STYLOSTATISTICAL INVESTIGATION OF PERSONAL PRONOUNS IN MODERN ENGLISH

Jiří Krámský

Prague

There is no doubt that a qualitative analysis of particular language styles is important for the overall representation of a language. However, a quantitative investigation is necessary as it is just this way of investigation that reveals the very importance of particular phenomena investigated. We made sure of that in our former papers devoted to the statistical investigation of particular word categories in the style of fiction, in colloquial style and in specialized and scientific style (cf. Krámský, 1967, 1972, 1975, 1981, 1983).

Our present paper concerns the category of personal pronouns. In formal grammatical respect there are no greater or fundamental problems. As far as the cases of personal pronouns are concerned we are in agreement with R. Quirk and S. Greenbaum (1974: 101) who write: "Like nouns, most pronouns in English have two cases: common (somebody) and genitive (somebody's.) But six pronouns have no objective case, thus presenting a three-case system, where common case is replaced by subjective and objective. There is identity between genitive and objective her and partial overlap between subjective who and objective who (...)". Of a similar opinion are H. E. Palmer and F. G. Blandford (1975: 69): "Five of the personal pronouns and the interrogative and relative hu: are the only words retaining different forms for the nominative and oblique cases; ju:, it and wan do not vary for case".

Table 1 (according to R. Quirk and S. Greenbaum, 1974; 102, tab. 4:1) shows the state of differentiation of personal pronouns:

Table 1

			personal	pronouns	
200 N 2000N			subj. caso	obj.	
oers. 2nd oers. 3rd		sing.	I	me	
Brd.		pl.	wo	us	
oers. 2nd oers. 3rd		sing.			
pers.	1	pl.	y	ou.	
			he	him	
3rd	sing.		sho	her	
pers.	Ling.	non- person.	it		
	pl.		they	them	

Also in our work the subjective and objective cases are differentiated but, moreover, the differentiation between simple and prepositional cases is added.

As material for our investigation we used five samples for each style examined, each sample including 10 000 words. We used the following samples: Style of fiction: Dickens, Wilde (a), Forster, Golding, Warner.

Colloquial style: Wilde (b), Wilde (c), Rattigan, Stoppard, Osborne.

Specialized and scientific style:

1. Sociology: Elias

2. Archaeology: Woolley

3. Zoology: Buchsbaum

4. Physics: Hatcher

5. Chemistry: Findlay and Williams

The statistical investigation is recorded in tables, each for one individual sample: tables 2—6 for the style of fiction, tables 7—11 for colloquial style and tables 12—16 for specialized and scientific style. The tables show absolute and relative frequencies of occurrence of personal pronouns in subjective case, simple objective and prepositional cases. Total absolute and relative frequency of occurrence of each personal pronoun in the given sample is recorded at the bottom of particular columns.

1. The style of fiction

An overall examination of individual samples will show us that personal pronouns are sensitive indicators of individual style. We can see that the frequency of occurrence of personal pronouns often considerably differs with individual authors.

We will now examine the total number of personal pronouns in particular samples. The greatest number of personal pronouns contains the sample from Wilde (11.55%), followed by Forster (10.16%), Warner (9.20%), Dickens

(8.54%), and Golding (6.70%). Thus the difference between the most and the least frequent personal pronoun in the five samples of the style of fiction is 4,85%. Comparing this with some other word categories we see that, e.g., the difference between the greatest and smallest frequency of occurrence of nouns is 10.7% (Ch. Dickens 21.6%, D. H. Lawrence 10.9%), of verbs is 5.9% (17.7% in the work of Rex Warner and 11.8% in the work of D. H. Lawrence), adverbs show the difference of only 1.29% (8.53% in the work of Galsworthy and 7.20% in the work of Dickens). The difference between the greatest and smallest frequency of prepositions is 2.12% (Golding 12.06%, Forster 9.94%), conjunctions show the difference of only 0.58% (Forster 6.29%, Golding 5.71%). Thus individually most stable are, in quantitative respect, conjunctions.

We will now devote a closer attention to particular frequency data. Thus the most frequent personal pronoun in the sample from Dickens (table 2) is he which amounts to 20.96% of all personal pronouns of the sample examined. Further follow the pronouns I (15.34%), it (14.76%), you (12.76%); the other pronouns have the frequency below 10%: him (9.13%), she (6.79%), they (4.33%), them (3.63%) and we, us (1.41% each). The frequency of occurrence of personal pronouns in the sample from Dickens is characteristic of rather a descriptive than direct narrative style.

The most frequent personal pronoun in the sample from Wilde (table 3) is I whose frequency of occurrence amounts to 25.97%, followed by the pronoun you (19.05%), it (17.66%), he (12.64%), him (6.49%), me (6.23%), we (3.03%), they (2.86%), them (2.08%), she (1.82%), us (1.30%) and her (0.87%). We see that the most frequent personal pronouns in the sample from Wilde are I, you, it and he, the other pronouns having smaller frequency than 10%

In the sample from E. M. Forster (table 4) the most frequent personal pronoun is he (26.18%), further follow it (15.26%), I (12.01%) and she (10.33%). The other pronouns have a smaller frequency than 10%: they (8.86%), you (8.17%), him (4.92%), we (4.53%), her (3.64%), them (3.35%), her (1.77%) and her (0.98%).

The most frequent personal pronoun in the sample from W. Golding (table 5) is he (28.96%) followed by you (14.03%), I (12.99%), they (10.60%), we (10.00%); the other pronouns have a smaller frequency than 10%: them (8.21%), him (7.16%), it (5.52%), us (1.19%) and her (0.15%). The sample does not contain the pronoun she.

The sample from Rex Warner (table 6) has as the most frequent the pronoun I (similar to Wilde) with the frequency of 38.91%, followed by he (11.96%), me (10.87%), the other pronouns have frequency below 10%: it (8.48%), she (8.37%), her (4.67%), we (4.35%), you (3.80%), him (2.61%), they (2.32%), us (2.07%) and us (1.52%).

					Table 7	(GILOVOIT)	(cr		350	100	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	2000	
	I	me	you	he	him	she	her	it	we	sn	thoy	them	total
subj. case	131		75	179		58		78	12		37		570
opj. case		32	17		14		23	32		-		61	171
about					63		-					-	4
above					ଧ								2
against			<u> </u>					-		-		1	က
at		1		_	က								4
before					5		н	1				<u></u>	œ
behind			-		6/1								8
beside					1								1
between												-	
by		23	1		-	20-03							4
for		-	23		-		67	1					-
from		1			33							•	4
in					1								1
into								1					-
jo		1			2			¢1		73			œ
over		1		-				22					ಣ
round					1								-
through												-	I
2	_	10	6		4		က	C3		1		-	30
towards					21							-	ಉ
npon	-	23			8	-		80				က	12
with			3		3			3				2	11
within					-								H
r abs.	131	51	109	179	78	58	30	126	12	12	37	31	854
%	15.34	5.97	12.76	20.96	9.13	6.79	3.51	14.76	1.41	1.41	4.33	3.63	100.00

	1	me	noa	he	him	she	her	it	W9	8n	they	them	total
	- - - - - - - - - -		200	1.60		- 10		190	3.5		65.5		831
subj. casc	300		167	140		17	,	201	20	r		œ	150
obj. casc		39	21		30		4	00		-		, ,	201
ahout			1					2				-	
850298	-				1							1	62
monute.										1			-
Controlle Box		8			6			-				1	14
ar.		5											1
pehind		-											П
beside		-										-	8
between			¢1									1	5 -
by					-								- l c
for	-	2			-			2		-		-	æ :
from		က			4							-	x
, F			ċ,		31			9		-		57	13
								-				-	ο 1
Dieno		•			35		~	cc		60		90	28
Jo		4	•				3 -	>		-			4
puo					91		۲			•		-	-
over		.1										- -	
past	_								į		ĺ	-	- -
through	_				٦!								- <u> </u>
to		18	14		16		-	67		-		.,	1 54
towards	-				-			1					- 0
uodn	c		1					-1					.3
with		-1	D	!	1		7	က					= '
within					7							 	- ;
abs.	300	72	220	146	75	21	10	204	35	15	33	27	cell
70,7	98.07	6 92	10.05	19 64	9.40	1.89	0.87	17.66	3.03	1.30	2.86	2.08	100.0

4

O O

63 04

9

0.1

total

518

subj. case

obj. case about

after

92

100.00

8.21

10.60

1.19

10.00

5.52

0.15

7.16

28.96

14.03

1.19

12.99

E abs.

to with

over

of of

48

194

94

00

87

cs.

670

55

7

00

67

37

C4

63

14

9

ಣ

100.00 total 792 9 CA CA 64 22 2 4 3 13 1016 9 them 3.35 57 67 10 67 63 they 90 98.8 9 10 -64 as we 46 4.53 155 15.26 43 66 3 **C**4 -O 37 3.64 25 CN 3 N Table 4 (Forster) 105 she 105 him 4.92 61 35 50 4 CA 26.18 266 he 266 8.17 you 64 13 9 83 1.77 18 13 me --63 122 122 subj. case obj. case towards around at $\Sigma^{\text{abs.}}_{\%}$ behind 80108 among before about under noon from with by B 당 면. 5

-		9												Г	-		
them		32				63	-			2	1	-	67			7	21
they	7.1																
nB		8				-							1			23	
we	19																
it	12	21			-								. — ·		63		
her		1															
him		25	-	1		-		2	-	1	1	က	3	-		ಜ	0820000
he	194	•															
you	88	4	20-0													2	
me		9	27.79			1						_				166	
	87		26 13				-										

beside between

behind

beyond

by for from

against at before

	Н	me	you	he	him	she	her	ti	W0	811	they	them	total
subj. casc	358	_	30	110		11		53	40		22		069
obj. case		39	2		10		61	12		=		9	66
about		1		_				-					8
above										-			-
after								-		_			1
against		0.00					-						-
along						5		-					-
among				×.								-	-
amongst			200										m
around	_	1											1
at		15			3		4			1			23
behind		T			. ;-								1
besido		1											П
for	-	4	3		7		5			-			14
from		4			61		2						8
in	*	3			1			1				1	īĊ
in front of		67						ž					63
into								-					ı
of			36. 080	200	3 7			က		-		4	10
uo		2	8									,	67
over			2020				П	-		28			2
past					2000-000							-	-
round	_				1		1						C3
through								1					1
to		19			က		5	2		1		1	31
towards		5					-			-			<u>-</u>
with		2			Ī		89	-		1		-	G
within		- -											1
sbs.	358	100	35	110	24	7.2	43	78	40	19	22	14	920
	38.91	10.87	3,80	11.96	2.61	8.37	4.67	8.48	4.35	2.07	2.39	1.52	100.00

Comparing the samples examined we can see that most frequent is he in three samples (from Dickens, Forster and Golding); in two samples (from Wilde and Warner) most frequent is the pronoun I. This is in agreement with the characteristics of the style of fiction which is dominated by narrative in 3rd or 1st person.

The tables also present prepositional connections with particular personal pronouns. In our corpus of 50,000 words there are 536 (1.07%) prepositional phrases the most frequent of which are the connections of prepositions with the personal pronoun him (134), further follow it (71), them (62), you(60) her (49) and us (30).

The sample from Dickens has 113 prepositional phrases, i.e. 13.23% of all personal pronouns of this sample. Most frequent connections are with prepositions to (30), upon (12), with (11), of (8), before (8) and for (7); the other connections have a smaller absolute frequency than 7.

The greatest frequency of prepositional connections was stated in the sample from Wilde: 165, i.e. 14.29% of all personal pronouns in this sample. Most frequent connections are with prepositions to (54), of (28), at (14), in (13), and with (11), the other connections having a smaller absolute frequency than 10.

In the sample from Warner we have found 131 prepositional connections, i.e. 14.24% of all personal pronouns contained in the sample. Most frequently used are the connections with prepositions to (31), at (23), for (14) and of (10); the other connections have a smaller absolute frequency than 10.

The remaining two authors, Forster and Golding, differ considerably in the use of propositional connections from Dickens, Wilde and Warner. In the sample from Forster we find 68 prepositional connections, which is 6.69% of all personal pronouns of the sample. Most frequent are to (13), of (12), the other connections having a smaller absolute frequency than 10. In the sample from Golding we find 59 prepositional connections, which is 8.81% of all personal pronouns of the sample. Most frequent are of (14), for, to and at (each having 6 occurences). The sample from Golding has no prepositional connection with her.

Finally we have ascertained the proportion between the subjective and objective (both simple and prepositional) cases. Thus Dickens has 570 (66.74%) occurrences of subjective case and 284 (33.26%) occurrences of the objective case. In the sample from Wilde the subjective case has 831 (71.95%) occurrences, the objective case 324 (28.05%) occurrences. Forster and Golding have a very close proportion between subjective cases: Forster has 792 (77.95%) occurrences of subjective case against 519 (77.46%) in Golding. The frequency of occurrence of the objective case is 224 (22.05%) in the sample from Forster and 151 (22.54%) in the sample from Golding. The sample from Warner has 690 (75.00%) occurrences of subjective case and 230 (25.00%) occurrences of objective case.

163

2. Colloquial style

JIŘÍ KRÁMSKÝ

The frequency of occurrence of personal pronouns in colloquial style is shown in tables 8-13. The greatest number of personal pronouns in this style is found in the sample from Rattigan (15.71%), followed by Osborne (15.60%), Wilde (An Ideal Husband 12.71%), Wilde (The Importance, 11.73%). A surprisingly small frequency of occurrence of personal pronouns is found in the sample from Stoppard (only 8.95%). The span between the smallest and the greatest frequency of personal pronouns is 6.76% which is nearly 2% more than in the style of fiction.

We will now examine the frequency of occurrence of personal pronouns in particular samples. Thus in the sample from Wilde (Importance, table 8) the most frequent personal pronoun is I amounting to 39.64% of all personal pronouns of the sample examined. Further follow the pronouns you (26.00%), it (12.79%), me (6.65%), he (4.09%), she and we (2.22% each), him and they (1.62% each), them (1.36%), her (1.02%) and us (0.77%). Thus most frequent in this sample are the pronouns I, you and it, the other pronous having a smaller frequency than 10%.

In the other sample from Wilde (An Ideal Husband, table 9) most frequent is also I but it has a rather smaller frequency than in the first sample from Wilde (33.05% against 39.64%). Further follow the pronouns you (26.83%), it (13.45%), me (7.47%), he, she (3.62% each), they (2.83%), we (2.75%), her (2.06%), us (1.81%), them (1.57%), and him (0.94%). Thus, most frequent in this sample are the pronouns I, you and it, similarly as in the first sample from Wilde; the other pronouns have a smaller frequency than 10%.

In the sample from Terence Rattigan (table 10) the most frequent personal pronoun is also I (35.58%), followed by you (28.20%), it (14.00%), he (7.00%), me (4.97%), him (2.42%), she and we (1.97% each), they (1.66%), us (1.02%), her (0.83%) and them (0.38%). Again, the most frequent personal pronouns in this sample are I, you and it, the other pronouns having a smaller frequency than 10%.

In the sample from Tom Stoppard (table 11) the most frequent personal pronouns are I (29.50%), it (20.67%), you (19.44%) and he (10.73%). The other personal pronouns have a smaller frequency than 10%. They are me (4.24%), him and we (3.80% each), they (3.46%), she (1.45%), us and them (1.01% each), and her (0.89%). The strikingly small absolute number of personal pronouns in this sample must be explained by a quite different style of Stoppard's play Jumpers which contains long philosophical passages causing the inclination to specialized and scientific style.

The most frequent personal pronoun in the sample from John Osborne (table 12) is I (25.13%) followed by you (24.87%) and it (14.49%), the other pronouns having a smaller frequency than 10%: he (9.30%), she (5.77%),

me (5.70%), they (3.46%), we (2.82%), him (2.37%), her (2.18%), them (2.12%) and us (1.79%).

Comparing all five samples of the colloquial style, we find that the most frequent personal pronoun in this style is I whose frequency takes the first place in all samples examined. This is in agreement with the character of this style which is dominated by the first person singular personal pronoun. The second and the third places are taken in all samples by the pronouns you and it.

Examining the frequency of occurrence of prepositional connections in the given five samples with the total number of 50,000 words, we find there 510 (1.02%) prepositional connections. Most frequent are the connections of prepositions with personal pronouns you (134), me (117), it (98), him (53), them (41), us (38) and her (29).

In the sample from Wilde (Imp.) we find 108 prepositional connections, i.e. 9.21% of all personal pronouns (with or without preposition) in this sample. Most frequent are the connections of personal pronouns with prepositions to (44), with and of (18 each), and for (13), the other prepositional connections having the absolute frequency between 1 and 5.

In the other sample from Wilde (Ideal II.) there are 113 prepositional connections, i.e. 8.96% of all personal pronouns of the sample. Most frequent are the connections with prepositions to and for (29 each), and of (22), the other connections having absolute frequency between 1 and 6.

In the sample from Rattigan we find 110 prepositional connections, i.e. 7.00% of all personal pronouns in this sample. Most frequent are connections of personal pronouns with prepositions to (28), of (27), and for (21); the other connections have absolute frequency smaller than 10.

The sample from Stoppard contains only 56 prepositional connections, i.e. 6.26% of all personal pronouns of this sample. Most frequent are connections with prepositions of and with (10 each), and on (7), the other connections having absolute frequency smaller than 6.

The sample from Osborne has 123 prepositional connections, i.e. 7.88% of all personal pronouns of this sample. Most frequent are connections with prepositions with (25), to (23), for (22), and of (20), the other connections have absolute frequency smaller than 8.

Examining the proportions between subjective and objective (both simple and prepositional) cases in particular samples we find in the first sample from Wilde (Ideal H.) 924 occurrences of the subjective case, i.e. 78.77% against 249 (21.23%) occurrences of the objective case. The other sample from Wilde (Import.) has 960 (75.53%) occurrences of the subjective case against 311 (24.47%) occurrences of the objective case. We see that the difference between the two samples from the same author is slight. Terence Rattigan has 1214 (77.27%) occurrences of the subjective case against 357 (22.73%) occurrences

			0.		Table	7 (Wilde(b))	p))						
	1 T	me	you	eų	him	she	her	it	we	an	they	them	total
subj. case	465		228	48		26		112	26		19		924
obj. case	42/45/07	43	20		6		4	22		5	-[00	141
about			2		200,000			4				-	5.
at	-	-	-					1					- m
before			-									 	
by									25 (3)				1
for		4	3		21			23					13
from		-											
in					-							-	6
of		1	4		21		1	20		-		4	000
on			1										
over					1								1
to		23	8		2		7	c)		1		1	44
with		5	7		2			1		2		1	18
abs.	465	18	305	48	61	26	12	150	26	6	19	16	1173
% I	39.64	6.65	26.00	4.09	1.62	2.22	1.02	12.79	2.22	0.77	1.62	1.36	100.00

						ANDRESS DEFINITION IN	200000	and the second s	Charles of the late of the lat				
	I	me	nos	he	him	she	her	it	we	as	they	them	total
subj. case	420		254	46		946		123	35		36		960
obj. case		7.1	20		00		22	28		11		80	, 198
about								61					63
against		1					67						က
before								-					-
beside			-										
between							•	10,010		1		-	64
		9 -	11		C1			7		63		-	29
from		60	-					-				1	10
			-					80		1		-	9
	-		8		2	-		ಣ		2		1-	22
		1	21	Will cover		_		1					4
		11	80				2	Г		8		I	29
with		1	ō	- Cameri				67					œ
within		I							-				L
abs.	420	95	341	46	12	46	26	171	35	23	36	20	1271
%	33.05	7.47	26.83	3.62	10.94	3.62	2.06	13.45	2.75	1.81	2.83	1.57	100.00

1 2 7

4

82 1-

1 1

1241

9

total

1571

1.97

35.58

40

them 6 0.38 OI 26 they 26 1.02 2 3 C) 00 as a 31 We 31 220 14.00 ro. TO. 13 her 10 -Table 9 (Rattigan) she 31 31 2.45 him 63 38 23 .23 10 ന 2.00 110 110 443 60 61 352 83 4 8 3 78 2 2 00 01 0 52 me 63 559 559 before botween by with without obj. case Σ abs. about from in into of on over for

						, , ,							
	н	me	you	he	him	she	her	. 1 2	we.	ns	they	them	total
subj. case	264	300 - 100	135	96		13		138	34		31		1117
obj. case		56	58		26		5	30		7		9	128
about		1						ro.				-	5
against		1											1
at		1		-				-		1			673
before					ON U DAMAG			7				1	64
between			-	-									-
for		-						લ					3
from								-					-
in			1		-			1					ಣ
Jo			9		2		1	67		1		-	01
uo			-		-		23	co	47				1-
over								5	,				23
to		က	23		ನಾ								80
with		20	ಣ		-		Ser. 2. 190	-	-				10
r abs.	264	38	174	96	34	13	\$	185	34	6	31	6	895
%	29.50	4.24	19.44	10.73	3.80	1.45	68.0	20.67	3.80	1.01	3.46	1.01	100.00

					Table 1	11 (Osborne)	'ne)				A. C.		500
	I	me	noa	he	him	she	her	it	we	sn	they	them	total
subj. case	392		317	145		06		138	44		54		1180
obj. case		69	47		21		23	61		91		20	257
about			2		27			1				2	-
after			2									1	က
at		-			1		1	-				ಣ	7
behind		3											ಬ
between	-									-			-
for		2	2		4		3	3	<u></u>	c3		1	22
from							1				8		1
in								4				-	22
jo		5	60		4			ಣ		1	_	-	20
on								1					1
over	_	•	1					3					4
through		-						100 - 100 H					_
to	0.00	8	10		3		62	4		-		2	23
with		ō	9		87		7	5				27	25
g abs.	392	68	388	145	37	06	34	526	44	28	54	33	1560
,0 7	25.13	5.70	24.87	9.30	2.37	5.77	2.18	14.49	2.82	1.79	3,46	2.12	100.00

of the objective case. In the sample from Tom Stoppard we find 711 (79.44%) occurrences of the subjective case against 184 (20.56%) occurrences of the objective case. John Osborne has 1180 (70.64%) occurrences of the subjective case against 380 (24.36%) occurrences of the objective case. On the whole, the proportion between subjective and objective case is quite constant in all samples of this style, the differences between particular samples not exceeding 3.80%.

3. The style of specialized and scientific texts

The frequency of occurrence of personal pronouns in specialized and scientific style is shown in tables 14—16. A characteristic feature of this style is an extraordinarily small number of personal pronouns in comparison with the style of fiction and colloquial style. The greatest number of personal pronouns in specialized style occurs in the sample from physics (table 15): 2.85%, further follows the sample from archaeology (table 13) counting 2.83%, the sample from sociology (table 12) 2.71%, a considerably smaller number of personal pronouns have samples from zoology (table 14) 1.54% and chemistry (table 16) 0.91%. The span between the most and the least frequent use of personal pronouns is 1.94%.

We will now examine the frequency of occurrence of personal pronouns in particular samples of specialized and scientific style.

In the sample from sociology the most frequent personal pronoun is it (40.96%), followed by we (21.03%), they (16.60%), them (11.07%), he (5.54%), us (2.58%), him (1.48%), I and me (0.37% each). Thus most frequent are the pronouns it, we, they and them, the other pronouns do not reach 10%. The pronouns you, she and her do not occur in the sample.

In the sample from archaeology the most frequent personal pronoun is also it (31.09%), further follow the pronouns we (20.85%), he (15.55%), they (9.90%), them (8.13%), I (5.65%), him (4.24%), you (1.06%) and her (0.35%). The most frequent are the pronouns it, we and he, the others not reaching 10%. The pronouns me and she do not occur in the sample.

The sample from zoology has only 5 of 12 personal pronouns; most frequent of them is it (40.91%), followed by they (40.26%), them (11.69%), we (5.84%) and us (1.30%). The pronouns I, me, you, he, him, she, and her are not represented in this sample.

The most frequent personal pronoun in the sample from physics is it (34.04%), followed by they (25.26%), he and them (11.23%) each), we (9.82%), you (5.97%), us (1.75%), and him (0.70%). The pronouns I, me, she and her are not represented in this sample.

In the sample from chemistry the most frequent personal pronouns are it (70.33%) and he (10.98%), the other pronouns having a smaller frequency

3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 1 57 7 45
1 1 1 4 111 57 7 45 3
1 15 4 111 57 7 45

	- - -	11021	had	him	her	it	we	us	they	them	total
	7 0	300	44			- 09	59	_	28		210
subj. case	OT T	2		ľ		9		œ		œ	29
obj. case				- -						1	
about		8						-	 		-
above				2		- '				1	1
amongst			-			_	_				-
below				-		-				6	2
between	_									1	-
from					3.	1			0000		4 3
4,5						70				-	0
						1		100 6		52-50	_
Inside										<u>-</u>	-
into			,	,				-		20	12
of				-		4 6		Ŧ		,	2
no			973			71			Ē	-	6
over						_				-	0
round						2				-	9
t t				1		1				-	0
with				63	1	2					2
ahe	16	8	44	12	1	88	59	9	58	3	283
Σ α/	26.55	1.06	15.55	4.24	0.35	31.09	20.85	3.18	06.6	8.13	100.00

Table 14 (Zoology)

	it	we	us	they	them	total
subj. case	56	9	27 T	62		127
obj.	6		1	i -	10	17
about case "		"			2	2
between					2	2
of	1				4	5
to			1			<u> </u>
Σ abs.	63	9	2	62	18	154
%	40.91	5.84	1.30	40.26	11.69	100.00

Table 15 (Physics)

	you	ho	him	it	we	us	they	them	total
subj. case	17	32		72	28	<u> </u>	72		221
obj. case			7	17		2		15	34
about			i		i	1	1	. 2	2
after			1		i	 	-	l = = l	1
between	-	i i		İ	 	1		2	2
for					i - 33	ī			1
in				1			i	2	3
of				3		2	-	6	11
on				2		<u> </u>	į - 	1	3
over	<u> </u>			1			i -	-	1
through	*****		¥	1				2	3
to .			1	g 74	3393	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		2	3
Σabs.	17	32	2	97	28	5	72	32	285
%	5.97	11.23	0.70	34.04	9.82	1.75	25.26	11.23	100.00

Table 16 (Chemistry)

	he	him	it	we	they	them	total
subj. case	10		57	5	4		76
obj. case			4	E 30.5%		5	9
by		1			-	1	2
from		•	1			25780 0	1 1
on			1		8.0		1
to	V(X)	1	1				2
abs.	10	2	64	5	4	6	91
$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\text{abs.}}{\%}$	10.98	2.19	70.33	5.50	4.40	6.60	100.00

than 10%: them (6.60%), we (5.50%), they (4.40%), and him (2.19%). The pronouns I, me, you, she, her, and us are not represented in this sample.

Comparing all five samples of this style we find that the most frequent personal pronoun is it which occurs in all samples examined. This is a characteristic feature of impersonality of specialized and scientific style. Remarkable is also the non-occurrence of a number of personal pronouns. Thus I occurs only in one sample (sociology) with a very small frequency of occurrence (only 0.37%). Likewise me occurs only in the sample from sociology with the same frequency of 0.37%. The pronoun you is found only in the sample from archeaology with a small frequency of 1.06% and with a rather greater frequency, 5.97%, in the sample from physics. No representation has the pronoun she, whereas the pronoun her is represented in one sample, that of archaeology, with a minimal frequency of 0.35%. The pronoun he is not represented in the sample from zoology, the pronoun him is not present in the sample from zoology, and the pronoun us is not represented in the sample from chemistry. Thus poorness in personal pronouns is a significant feature of specialized and scientific style.

The frequency of occurrence of prepositional connections is, in the corpus of all five samples of this style (i.e. 50 000 words) 117, i.e. 0.23%; most frequent of them are the connections of prepositions with the personal pronoun them (58) further follow it (39), him (12), us (7) and her (1).

In the sample from sociology we find 28 prepositional connections, most frequent of them being those with the pronoun them (17), further follow it (5), him and us (3 each). The sample from archaeology has 15 prepositional connections, most frequent being those with the pronouns it (22) and them (15), further follow him (5), her (1) and us (1). The sample from zoology contains only 9 prepositional connections, 8 with the pronoun them and 1 with the pronoun it. The sample from physics has 30 prepositional connections, most frequent being those with the pronoun them (17), further follow the pronouns it (8), us (3) and him (2). The small: st number of prepositional connections, 6, occurs in the sample from chemistry; it has 3 prepositional connections, him 2, and them 1.

Examining the proportion between subjective and objective (simple and prepositional) case in particular samples we find in the sample from sociology 208 occurrences of the subjective case, i.e. 75.00% against 63 (i.e. 23.25%) occurrences of the objective case. The sample from archaeology has 210 occurrences of the subjective case, i.e. 74.20% against 73 (25.80%) occurrences of the objective case. The sample from zoology contains 127 occurrences (i.e. 82.47%) of the subjective case against 27 (17.53%) occurrences of the objective case. The sample from physics has 221 occurrences (77.54%) of the subjective case against 64 (22.46%) occurrences of the objective case. In the sample

from chemistry we find 76 (83.52%) occurrences of the subjective case against 15 (16.48%) occurrences of the objective case. The differences between particular samples do not exceed 9.32%.

4. Comparison of styles examined

The differences between particular styles which were subjected to statistical examination are shown in table 17. The comparison is possible as the corpus of each style contains an equal number of words: 50,000. Thus we can compare the absolute and relative numbers of occurrences of particular personal pronouns.

As far as the total number of all personal pronouns is concerned, the greatest frequency of occurrence is found in colloquial style, amounting to 6,470 (12.94%) of all words examined. The second place is occupied by the style of fiction with 4,612 (9.23%) occurrences of all words of the corpus. The third place is taken by the specialized and scientific style with 1084 personal pronouns, i.e. 2.17% of all words examined. The striking lack of personal pronouns clearly separates the specialized style from the style of fiction and from the colloquial style.

We will now compare the frequency of occurrence of particular personal pronouns in the styles examined. Thus the pronoun I is most frequent in the colloquial style (32.46% of all personal pronouns) as well as in the style of fiction (21.63% of all personal pronouns). The most frequent personal pronoun in specialized style is it (39.02% of all personal pronouns). In the style of fiction and in colloquial style this pronoun takes the third place with the frequency of 13.00% in the style of fiction and 14.71% in colloquial style. In specialized style the third place is taken by the pronoun we (14.58%). On the fourth place we find in the style of fiction the pronoun you (11.72%), in colloquial style the pronoun he (6.88%), in specialized style the pronoun them (10.05%). The fifth place is occupied in the style of fiction by the pronoun him (5.96%), in colloquial style by me (5.84%), and in specialized style by he (9.32%). Further places are taken by pronouns with a smaller frequency than 5.84%. The order is as follows: 6. she — she — us, 7. they — we — you, him, 8. me = they = you, him, 9. we = him = I, 10. them = her = me, 11. her = meus = her, 12. us = them = 0.

Table 17 also reveals that there are few personal pronouns that have approximately the same frequency of occurrence in two or three styles examined. They are: me, her, it, us in the style of fiction and in colloquial style; in specialized style the frequencies of occurrence mostly differ in comparison with the other two styles.

Comparison of all three styles shows, above all, a considerable diversity of specialized style from the other two styles examined. On the other hand

Table 17

Personal pronouns	style							
	fiction		col	loq.	specialized			
	abs.	%	abs.	%	abs.	%		
1	998	21.63	2100	32,46	17	1.57		
me	249	5.40	378	5.84	1	0.09		
you	541	11.72	1651	25.52	20	1.85		
he	895	19.39	445	6.88	101	9.32		
him	275	5.96	140	2,16	20	1.85		
she	261	5.66	206	3.18				
her	121	2.62	93	1.44	1	0.09		
it	600	13.00	952	14.71	423	39.02		
we	200	4.33	170	2.63	158	14.58		
us	64	1.39	85	1.31	23	2.12		
they	253	5.48	166	2.57	211	19.46		
them	158	3.42	84	1.30	109	10.05		
Total	4615	100.00	6470	100,00	1084	100.00		

comparison of the style of fiction with colloquial style shows partly coincidences, partly differences. Style of fiction and colloquial style sometimes overlap. There are belletristic works in which the authors sometimes use a direct talk — this can explain some quantitative agreements between both styles.

On the whole, our investigation has shown that the frequency of occurrence of personal pronouns can be valued as a significant and indisputable stylistic characteristic. In addition to differences between particular styles we find, however, differences even within the particular styles, differences which are conditioned by the factor of contents of particular works of one and the same style.

REFERENCES

Sources

Buchsbaum, R. 1966. Animals without Backbones: I. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books. Dickens, Ch. 1931. Oliver Twist. Chap. XLVI—XLIX. London: J. M. Dent and Sons Ltd. Elias, N. 1978. What is Sociology? London: Hutchinson.

Findlay, A. and Williams, T. 1965. A Hundred Years of Chemistry. London: Mathuen. Fitzjohn, D. (ed.). 1969. English One-act Plays of Today. London: Oxford University Press.

Forster, E. M. 1936. A Passage to India. Chap. V-VII. London: Penguin Books.

Golding, W. 1969. Lord of the Flies. Chap. IV-V. London: Farber and Farber.

Hatcher, Ch. 1964. The Atom. London: Macmillan and Co.

Osborne, J. 1971. Look Back in Anger. Act I-II. London: Faber and Faber.

Rattigan, T. 1969. The Browning Version. In D. Fitzjohn (cd.). 1969.

176

JIRI KRAMSKY

- Stoppard, T. 1973. Jumpers. Act I-II. London: Faber and Faber.
- Warner, R. 1945. The aerodrome. Chap. VII-IX. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.
- Wilde, O. (a) 1908. The Picture of Dorian Gray. Chap. I-II. Leipzig: Bornard Tauchnitz.
- Wilde, O. 1967. Plays. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.
- Wilde, O. (b) 1967. The Importance of Being Earnest. Act I-II. In O. Wilde 1967.
- Wilde, O. (c) 1967. An Ideal husband. Act I-II. In O. Wilde 1967.
- Woolley, L. 1965. Digging up the Past. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.

References

- Krámský, J. 1967. "The frequency of articles in relation to style in English". Prague studies in mathematical linguistics 2, 89—95.
- Krámský, J. 1972. "A contribution to the investigations of the frequency of occurrence of nominal and verbal elements in English". Prague studies in mathematical linguistics 4, 35-45.
- Krámský, J. 1975. "Stylostatistical investigation into the frequency of occurrence of adverbs in modern English". Acta Universitatis Carolinae Philologica 1, Prague studies in English 17, 33-47.
- Krámský, J. 1981. "Stylostatistical analysis of the frequency of occurrence of prepositions in modern English". Acta Universitatis Carolinae Philologica 3, Prague Studies in English 17, 33-47.
- Krámský, J. 1983. "A stylostatistical investigation of conjunctions in modern English".

 Prague studies in mathematical linguistics 8. 81 92.
- Palmer, H. E. and Blandford, F. G. 1975. A grammar of spoken English. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Quirk, R. and Greenhaum, S. 1974. A university grammar of spoken English. London: Longman.